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# meso-5,5,7,12,12,14-Hexamethyl-1,4-diaza-8,11-diazoniacyclotetradecane bis(2-naphthoate) ethanol disolvate: centrosymmetric five-component aggregates linked into sheets by $\mathbf{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ (arene) hydrogen bonding 
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The title compound is an ethanol-solvated salt, $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{4}{ }^{2+}$.$2 \mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-} \cdot 2 \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}$, in which the cation lies across a centre of inversion in $P 2_{1} / c$. The ions are linked by $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds $[\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}=1.70$ and $2.30 \AA, \mathrm{~N} \cdots \mathrm{O}=2.624$ (2) and 3.136 (2) $\AA$, and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}=178$ and $151^{\circ}$ ], and the ethanol molecule is linked to the anion by an $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bond $[\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}=1.90 \AA, \mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}=2.728$ (2) $\AA$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}=$ $171^{\circ}$ ], to form a centrosymmetric five-component aggregate. $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds and aromatic $\pi-\pi$-stacking interactions are absent, but the aggregates are linked into sheets by a single $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ (arene) hydrogen bond.

## Comment

The nickel(II) complex [( RCOO$)_{2} \mathrm{Ni}($ cyclam $\left.)\right]$, where $\mathrm{RCOO}^{-}$ represents 2-naphthoate, $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{COO}^{-}$, and cyclam is $1,4,8,11-$ tetraazacyclotetradecane, $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$, is centrosymmetric, with naphthoate ligands occupying mutually trans sites in an octahedral $\mathrm{NiN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ chromophore (Zakaria et al., 2002). An unexpected feature of this structure is the complete absence of aromatic $\pi-\pi$-stacking interactions between the naphthalene rings in adjacent complexes, even though the closest approach between these rings is across a centre of inversion, so that the rings involved are strictly parallel. While the shortest interplanar spacing between such rings is only 3.122 (2) $\AA$, the corresponding distance between the ring centroids is $5.260(2) \AA$, with a corresponding centroid offset of 4.233 (2) $\AA$, so that there is no ring overlap whatsoever.

[^0]Intrigued by this feature, we have now studied a related 2-naphthoic acid derivative, viz. the title ethanol-solvated adduct, (I), formed between this acid and tet-a (meso-5,5,7,12,12,14-hexamethyl-1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane). The constitution of (I) (Fig. 1) is that of a salt, $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{4}{ }^{2+} \cdot 2 \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{COO}^{-} \cdot 2 \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$, in which the fully ordered cation lies across a centre of inversion, chosen for the sake of convenience as that at $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, while the anion and the ethanol molecule lie in general positions.


The cation adopts the usual and characteristic trans-III configuration (Barefield et al., 1986), with four methyl groups in equatorial sites and two in axial sites, and with paired intracation $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ hydrogen bonds generating an $R_{2}^{2}(10)$ motif (Bernstein et al., 1995). There is almost perfect staggering about all of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds in the cation (Table 1), and there are four axial $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds available for intermolecular hydrogen-bond formation, two on each face of the disc-like cation. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond lengths in the cation (Table 1) are consistent with the site of N -protonation, while the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ distances in the anion exhibit the marked bond fixation typical of the naphthalene ring system.

Within the selected asymmetric unit, atoms N 1 at $(x, y, z)$ and N 4 at $(1-x, 1-y, 1-z)$, both part of the cation centred at $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, act as hydrogen-bond donors to, respectively, carboxylate atoms O 1 and O 2 in the anion at $(x, y, z)$, forming an $R_{3}^{3}(8)$ motif, while ethanol atom O 3 also acts as a donor towards O2 (Fig. 1). In this manner, a centrosymmetric fivecomponent aggregate is formed, which accommodates all of the hard (Desiraju \& Steiner, 1999) hydrogen-bond donors. Similar $R_{3}^{3}(8)$ motifs involving $\left[(\text { tet-a }) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right]^{2+}$ cations and aromatic carboxylate anions have been observed in salts with terephthalate (Lough et al., 2000), 5-hydroxyisophthalate (Burchell et al., 2000) and 5-nitroisophthalate (MacLean et al., 2002), although not in the analogous salts formed by 3- and


Figure 1
The centrosymmetric five-component aggregate in (I), showing the atomlabelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $30 \%$ probability level and, for the sake of clarity, H atoms bonded to C atoms have been omitted. Atoms marked with an asterisk (*) are at the symmetry position $(1-x, 1-y, 1-z)$.


Figure 2
A stereoview of part of the crystal structure of (I), showing the near orthogonality of adjacent naphthalene rings. For the sake of clarity, the ethanol molecules and H atoms bonded to C atoms have been omitted.

Figure 3


Part of the crystal structure of (I), showing the linking of the fivecomponent aggregates into a (100) sheet by means of a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ (arene) hydrogen bond. For the sake of clarity, the ethanol molecules and H atoms bonded to C atoms in the anion have been omitted. Atoms marked with an asterisk $(*)$ or hash (\#) are at the symmetry positions $(1-x, 1-y$, $1-z)$ and $\left(x, \frac{3}{2}-y, z-\frac{1}{2}\right)$, respectively.

4-hydroxybenzoate or 3,5-dihydroxybenzoate anions (Gregson et al., 2000).

For the closest approach of naphthalene rings in adjacent aggregates in (I), the centroid separation is 5.493 (2) $\AA$. Whereas in $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{COO}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ni}(\right.$ cyclam $\left.)\right]$, the nearest-neighbour naphthoate units are related by inversion, in (I), they are related by a twofold screw axis, and the naphthalene rings involved are almost orthogonal (Fig. 2), with a dihedral angle of 84.5 (3) ${ }^{\circ}$ between the ring planes, so that aromatic $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions are absent. However, the aggregates are linked into sheets by a single $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ (arene) hydrogen bond
(Table 2). The metrical systematics of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ (arene) hydrogen bonds have been studied by Braga et al. (1998) using neutron diffraction results extracted from the Cambridge Structural Database (April 1997 release; Allen, 2002). The most frequently observed $\mathrm{H} \cdots C g$ distances ( $C g$ represents the centroid of the arene ring) lie in the range $2.9-3.0 \AA$, while the mean values of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots C g$ angles and $\mathrm{C} \cdots C g$ distances were found to be 142 (2) ${ }^{\circ}$ and 3.69 (2) $\AA$, respectively. The values found here for (I) are thus fairly typical for interactions of this type.

Atom C 7 in the cation is adjacent to a protonated ring N atom, and hence the axial $\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{H} 7$ bond can be expected to be moderately acidic for an aliphatic $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond. Atom C 7 at $(x$, $y, z)$, which lies in the cation centred at $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, acts as a hydrogen-bond donor to the unsubstituted C15-C110 aryl ring of the anion at $\left(x, \frac{3}{2}-y, z-\frac{1}{2}\right)$, which forms part of the aggregate centred at $\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1,0\right)$, while atom C 7 at $(1-x, 1-y$, $1-z)$ in the same $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ aggregate acts as a donor to the naphthoate anion at $\left(1-x, y-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}-z\right)$, which is part of the aggregate centred at $\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0,1\right)$. The two naphthoate anions in the $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ aggregate likewise act as acceptors from atoms C7 in the aggregates centred at $\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0,0\right)$ and $\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1,1\right)$, and in this manner the five-component aggregates are linked into a (100) sheet (Fig. 3).

## Experimental

Equimolar quantities of tet-a (Hay et al., 1975) and 2-naphthoic acid were separately dissolved in ethanol. The solutions were mixed and the mixture was set aside to crystallize, producing analytically pure (I). Analysis, found: C 69.9, H 8.9, N $8.1 \% ; \mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{64} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires: C 70.0, H 9.0, N $7.8 \%$. Crystals of (I) suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction were selected directly from the analytical sample.

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{4}{ }^{2+} \cdot 2 \mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-} \cdot 2 \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}$
$M_{r}=720.97$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1 / c}$
$a=10.9563$ (4) $\AA$
$b=10.9584$ (5) $\AA$
$c=17.1665$ (8) $\AA$
$\beta=101.446(2)^{\circ}$
$V=2020.08(15) \AA^{3}$
$Z=2$
$D_{x}=1.185 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 4606
reflections
$\theta=2.8-27.5^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.08 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=150$ (1) K
Block, colourless
$0.32 \times 0.25 \times 0.20 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD area-detector diffractometer $\varphi$ scans, and $\omega$ scans with $\kappa$ offsets 17192 measured reflections 4606 independent reflections
$R_{\text {int }}=0.075$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=27.5^{\circ}$
$h=-14 \rightarrow 13$
$k=-14 \rightarrow 14$
$l=-22 \rightarrow 22$

3130 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
Table 1
Selected geometric parameters $\left(\AA^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $1.487(2)$ | $\mathrm{N} 4-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $1.465(2)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 7^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $1.501(2)$ | $\mathrm{N} 4-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $1.495(2)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{N} 4$ | $62.09(16)$ | $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{N} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $56.87(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{N} 4-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $-174.22(13)$ | $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{N} 1^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{C} 2^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $-163.05(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{N} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $-170.12(13)$ | $\mathrm{C} 7^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $172.03(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 7$ | $-69.22(17)$ |  |  |
| Symmetry code: (i) $1-x, 1-y, 1-z$. |  |  |  |

Table 2
Hydrogen-bonding geometry ( $\AA,{ }^{\circ}$ ).
Cg 2 is the centroid of the C15-C110 ring of the anion.

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 B \cdots \mathrm{~N} 4^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.92 | 2.04 | $2.791(2)$ | 138 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 1$ | 0.92 | 1.70 | $2.624(2)$ | 178 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 \cdots \mathrm{O} 2^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.92 | 2.30 | $3.136(2)$ | 151 |
| $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 \cdots \mathrm{O} 2$ | 0.84 | 1.90 | $2.728(2)$ | 171 |
| $\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{H} 7 \cdots \mathrm{Cg} 2^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | 1.00 | 2.94 | $3.785(2)$ | 143 |

Symmetry codes: (i) $1-x, 1-y, 1-z$; (ii) $x, \frac{3}{2}-y, z-\frac{1}{2}$.

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\begin{array}{l}
w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)+(0.0539 P)^{2}\right. \\
\quad \quad+0.3194 P] \\
\quad \text { where } P=\left(F_{o}^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3 \\
(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001 \\
\Delta \rho_{\max }=0.16 \mathrm{e} \AA \\
\Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.17 \mathrm{e}^{-3} \AA^{-3} \\
\text { Extinction correction: } S H E L X L 97 \\
\quad \text { (Sheldrick, 1997) } \\
\text { Extinction coefficient: } 0.014
\end{array}\right\} .(3)
\end{aligned}
$$

The space group $P 2_{1} / c$ was uniquely assigned from the systematic absences. H atoms were treated as riding, with $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ distances of $0.84 \AA, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}$ distances of $0.92 \AA$, and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ distances of 0.95 (aromatic), $0.98\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.99\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ or $1.00 \AA$ (aliphatic CH ).

Data collection: KappaCCD Server Software (Nonius, 1997); cell refinement: DENZO-SMN (Otwinowski \& Minor, 1997); data reduction: $D E N Z O-S M N$; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: PLATON (Spek, 2002); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97 and PRPKAPPA (Ferguson, 1999).

The X-ray data were collected at the University of Toronto using a Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer purchased with funds from NSERC, Canada.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SK1618). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.
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